

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

**STACY NAGAN,**

Plaintiff(s),

v.

**TELEPHONE CONFERENCE re: [116] Letter**

Case No. 19-C-170

**OPTION SOLUTIONS, LLC,**

Defendant(s).

---

HONORABLE WILLIAM C. GRIESBACH presiding

Proceeding Held: June 11, 2020

Deputy Clerk: Cheryl

Time Called: 10:01 a.m.

Time Concluded: 10:15 a.m.

Tape: 061120

**Appearances:**

**Plaintiff(s):** Andrew T. Thomasson and Katelyn Busby

**Defendant(s):** Brendan Little

Mr. Thomasson states progress was made during the meet and confer. Plaintiff needed additional depositions. Plaintiff is now satisfied the depositions are not needed. Plaintiff received responses to written requests, however, Defendant objected to requests. Plaintiff states they still need accountments from class members and call recordings, and the deposition of Kohl's. Kohl's has been cooperative and the deposition could be completed within the next 30 days.

Mr. Little states the case involves the letter and the requested information does not have bearing on the issue before the court and they should not be compelled to produce the requested information.

The Court notes that an audio deposition could be conducted by phone.

Mr. Thomasson states there are additional fact issues that need to be answered.

Mr. Little does not object to deposition of Kohl's.

The Court orders deposition be completed within 30 days. The response to summary judgment is due within 30 days of the deposition, reply to follow.

The Court and parties discuss summary judgment motion.

Mr. Thomasson explains why the telephone recordings are relevant to the letter.

The Court and parties discuss production of telephone recordings.

Mr. Little will confer with his clients. Mr. Thomasson may need to file a motion to compel.

The Court directs Plaintiff to file a motion, if necessary, as it does not have enough information to address it.